



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,694	03/17/2004	Toshio Yamagiwa	0505-1280P	1883
2292 7590 08/09/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER YUN, EUGENE	
			ART UNIT 2618	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 08/09/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/801,694

Applicant(s)

YAMAGIWA, TOSHIO

Examiner

Eugene Yun

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,7,9,11,15,17 and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,7,9,11,15,17 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/26/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johannes (NL 9101758) in view of Yassin et al. (US 6,505,780).

Referring to Claim 1, Johannes teaches a vehicle, comprising:

A tag, said tag being mounted in the vehicle, the tag including a recording medium in which identification information for identifying the vehicle is registered (see first 2 lines of ABSTRACT);

Johannes does not teach the tag attached inside a vehicle seat to be sat on by a user in the vehicle, said vehicle seat being composed of a resin member. Yassin teaches the

Art Unit: 2618

tag attached inside a vehicle seat to be sat on by a user in the vehicle, said vehicle seat being composed of a resin member, wherein the vehicle seat is a primary vehicle seat that is directly attached to the vehicle (see col. 5, lines 19-30), and wherein the tag is located adjacent to a front or rear of the seat so that a distance between the tag and an outside of the seat is small such that the identification information of the vehicle can be obtained via a tag reader placed near the tag attached inside the primary vehicle seat (see fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 19-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Yassin to said device of Johannes in order to provide a more clear interrogation of a RFID tag with less interference.

Referring to Claim 9, Johannes teaches a vehicle, comprising:

A vehicle body (see ABSTRACT noting that a bicycle has a vehicle body);

A vehicle seat composed of a resin member attached to said vehicle body, said vehicle seat to be sat on by a user in the vehicle (see lines 2-7 of ABSTRACT); and

A tag attached inside said resin member, said tag including a recording medium in which identification information for identifying the vehicle is registered (see first 2 lines of ABSTRACT).

Johannes does not teach the tag attached inside said resin member of said vehicle seat. Yassin teaches the tag attached inside said resin member of said vehicle seat, wherein the vehicle seat is a primary vehicle seat that is directly attached to the vehicle (see col. 5, lines 19-30), and wherein the tag is located adjacent to a front or rear of the seat so that a distance between the tag and an outside of the seat is small such that the

identification information of the vehicle can be obtained via a tag reader placed near the tag attached inside the primary vehicle seat (see fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 19-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Yassin to said device of Johannes in order to provide a more clear interrogation of a RFID tag with less interference.

Referring to Claim 17, Johannes teaches a resin member for a vehicle, comprising:

A tag, said tag including a recording medium in which identification information for identifying the vehicle is registered (see first 2 lines of ABSTRACT).

Johannes does not teach said tag being attached inside the resin member, wherein the resin member is a vehicle seat to be sat on by a user in the vehicle. Yassin teaches said tag being attached inside the resin member, wherein the resin member is a vehicle seat to be sat on by a user in the vehicle, wherein the vehicle seat is a primary seat that is directly attached to the vehicle (see col. 5, lines 19-30), and wherein the tag is located adjacent to a front or rear of the seat so that a distance between the tag and an outside of the seat is small such that the identification information of the vehicle can be obtained via a tag reader placed near the tag attached inside the primary vehicle seat (see fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 19-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Yassin to said device of Johannes in order to provide a more clear interrogation of a RFID tag with less interference.

Referring to Claims 3 and 11, Johannes also teaches a saddle-type vehicle (see ABSTRACT noting that a bicycle is a saddle-type vehicle).

Referring to Claims 7, 15, and 20, Johannes also teaches an RFID tag including an IC and means for transmitting/receiving radio signal embedded therein (see last 5 lines of ABSTRACT).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571)272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Eugene Yun
Examiner
Art Unit 2618

EY


MATTHEW ANDERSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER